

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/701,476	11/06/2003	Keiji Fujita	04329.3172	8591
75	590 12/28/2004		EXAMINER	
Finnegan, Henderson, Farabow,			PHAM, THANHHA S	
Garrett & Dunn 1300 I Street, N	•		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Washington, DC 20005-3315			2813	

DATE MAILED: 12/28/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			In
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/701,476	FUJITA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Thanhha Pham	2813	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a replet fix NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a sly within the statutory minimum of thi will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI e, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>02 №</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) Thi Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal mat		
Disposition of Claims		•	
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 is/are withdraw 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 17-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.	,	
Application Papers	•		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>06 November 2003</u> is/ Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)[e drawing(s) be held in abeya ction is required if the drawin	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in a ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/06/03.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/701,476

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

This Office Action is in response to Applicant's Response to Restriction Requirement dated 10/02/04.

Election/Restrictions

- Claims 1-16 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b) as being drawn to nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 11/02/04.
- 2. Applicant's election without traverse of claims 17-20 in the reply filed on 11/02/04 is acknowledged.

Oath/Declaration

3. Oath/Declaration filed on 03/17/04 has been considered.

Specification

4. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: A semiconductor device fabrication method using electron beam to enlarge pores in porous insulating film.

Application/Control Number: 10/701,476 Page 3

Art Unit: 2813

Claim Objections

5. Claims 17 and 19-20 are objected to because of informalities. Appropriate corrections are required.

- ► With respect to claim 17, line 8, term "and/or" should be changed to "or" to clarify the scope of the claim.
- ► With respect to claim 19, line 5, "a conductive material" should be changed to "said conductive material" to clarify the scope of the claim.
- ► With respect to claim 20, lines 2-3, "filling said recessed portion with a conductive material to form a plug and/or a wiring layer" should be changed to "filling said recessed portion with said conductive material to form said plug or said wiring layer" to clarify the scope of the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 6. Claims 17 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Kloster et al. [US 6,737,365].

Application/Control Number: 10/701,476 Page 4

Art Unit: 2813

** <u>Notice</u>: Applicant cannot rely upon the foreign priority papers to overcome this rejection because a translation of said papers has not been made of record in accordance with 37 CFR 1.55. See MPEP § 201.15.

▶ With respect to claim 17, Kloster et al. (figures 2-3's and cols. 3-6) discloses the claimed method for manufacturing a semiconductor device comprising:

forming a porous insulating film (304, dielectric layer comprising porogen PMMA, fig. 3A, col. 5 lines 42-62, col. 3 lines 50-67 and col. 4 lines 1-5) above a semiconductor substrate (301, col. 4 lines 54-65);

forming a recessed portion (306/305, fig. 3B, col. 5 lines 63-67) on a surface of said porous insulating film (304);

filling said recessed portion with a conductive material to form a plug or a wiring layer (307, fig. 3C, col. 6 lines 1-4);

wherein said porous insulating film (304, figs 3C-3D, col. 6 lines 4-13) is irradiated with electron beam to enlarge the size of pores of said porous insulating film.

▶ With respect to claim 19, Kloster et al. (figs 3C-3D, col. 6 lines 4-8) discloses enlarging the size of said pores through irradiation of electron beam onto said porous insulating film is performed subsequent to filling said recessed portion with the conductive material.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and

the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

- 7. Claims 18 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kloster et al. [US 6,737,365] in view of Lin et al. [US 2004/0175958].
- With respect to claim 18, Kloster et al. substantially discloses the claimed method including forming the porous insulating film above the semiconductor substrate wherein said porous insulating film is irradiated with the electron beam to enlarge the size of the pores of said porous insulating film. Kloster et al. does not specifically discloses that said pores of porous insulating film formed above said semiconductor substrate has an average diameter of 1 nm or less. However, Lin et al. (text paragraphs [0009] and [0033]-[0035]) discloses the size of the pores of the porous insulating film (33, fig. 3) formed above the semiconductor substrate (30) can have the average diameter as small as 1nm (text paragraph [0035] lines 4-15). Therefore, at the time of invention, it would have been obvious for those skilled in the art to modify process of Kloster et al. by using the porous insulating film with the pore size as being claimed, as taught by Lin et al., to provide the insulating film with low-k dielectric for preventing capacitance coupling and crosstalk problems in interconnection of the semiconductor device (see Lin et al., text paragraph [0002]).
- With respect to claim 20, Kloster et al. substantially discloses the claimed method including filling said recessed portion (305/306, fig. 3B) with the conductive material (307, fig. 3C) to form the plug or the wiring layer (307) (see col. 5 lines 63-67 and col. 6 lines 1-4). Kloster et al. does not specifically disclose said filling includes depositing a Cu layer through a barrier metal film. However, depositing the Cu layer

through the barrier metal film to form the plug or the wiring layer is a known technique of forming interconnection in the semiconductor device. See Kloster et al. (figs. 5-6 and text paragraph [0041]) as an evidence that teaches depositing the Cu layer (38) through the barrier metal film (37). Therefore, at the time of the invention, it would have been obvious for those skilled in the art to modify process of Kloster et al. by depositing the Cu layer through the barrier metal film as being claimed, per taught by Lin et al, to form the interconnection of the semiconductor device with good conductor and reliable performance -- since the Cu layer is a good conductor for forming the interconnection of the semiconductor device and the metal barrier film provides the known purpose of preventing copper diffusion to the insulating film.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thanhha Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-1696. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday and Thursday 9:00AM - 9:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead can be reached on (571) 272-1702. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/701,476

Art Unit: 2813

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thanhha Pham Patent Examiner

Patent Examining Group 2800